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For the purpose of this report the following explanations maybe helpful: -
Attainment - is the level at which a child is working.
Progress - is the development that they have made from their previous level whatever that may have been.

Achievement - is a combination of attainment and progress with the weight been given to progress.

## PMLD - Profound Multiple Learning Difficulties/Disabilities

SLD - Severe Learning Difficulties/Disabilities
The Ofsted website http://dashboard.ofsted.gov.uk/ is a useful link to use, it describes within 'Schools Data Dashboard - guidance for special schools' how the levels are used and what they mean. There are also useful sections on:-

Interpreting data on special schools
Data suppression
These are useful pieces of information to look at before working through this document, they give an insight into the complexities of Special School data.

We will refer to the proportion of pupils making expected and more than expected progress within this report, this enables us to plan interventions for pupils who do not reach this threshold.

We have found the following information useful when analysing our data: -
We considered that those in the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile are the children in the Lower Quartile who are making expected progress, those in the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile are in the Median Quartile making good progress which we use as our target level and those in the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile are in the Upper Quartile and would be making outstanding progress.

## Explanation of Quartiles:-

To set high expectations we need to know what good progress looks like for learners on a range of different trajectories.

We have included both a visual and numerical representation of pupil progress.

The table and chart below show the progression of learners, based on age and prior attainment, using quartiles. The quartiles divide the attainment of the learners in the data set into four.
$100 \%$ of learners in the data set

|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ quartile <br> Lowest quartile | $2^{\text {nd }}$ qua <br> Below | le median | $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile <br> Above the | edian | $4^{\text {th }}$ quartile <br> Highest quartile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learners with the Lowest Attainment Less than expected progress |  |  |  |  |  |  | Learners with the Highest Attainment |
|  | $25^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile |  | $50^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile |  | $75^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile |  |  |
|  | Lower quartile line <br> (LQ) <br> Expected Progress <br> For those who have a high level of vulnerability |  | Median Line Expected/Good Progress Target Level |  | Upper quartile Line (UQ) Good/Outstanding Progress |  |  |

- The lower quartile line (LQ) in the chart is the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile or the upper limit of the lowest quartile.
- The lowest quartile shows the performance of the lowest $25 \%$ of the cohort.
- The median is the middle line of performance of the whole cohort in the data set, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile. Learners in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ quartile are performing between the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile and the median line.
- $25 \%$ of learners are working within the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile between the median and the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile.
- The upper quartile line (UQ) in the chart is the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile; the upper limit of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quartile or the lower limit of the $4^{\text {th }}$ quartile.
- The upper quartile, $4^{\text {th }}$ quartile, is the highest performing $25 \%$ of learners.

The use of the B-Squared assessment tool enables us to track individual pupil progress showing a percentage increase each year, this not only lets us measure the success of learners learning within a level, but enables us to set challenging targets for the following year informed by overall progress over previous years. B-Squared are keeping pace with the changes to the curriculum they have stated 'Our current plans are to use the current levelling system, but adapt the levels to the new end of key stage objectives. This will allow schools to continue to use a system which has a rich source of previous year's data upon which to compare current progress, a system with
established, credible resources and support available and a system that parents are used to and understand' B-Squared will continue to monitor announcements from both the Department for Education and Ofsted for the new curriculum and will adjust their plans as needed, The Grove School therefore continue to use this established system to retain the data which includes Value Added data, that we have built up over the past ten years, whilst monitoring and adjusting to the new curriculum.

In partnership with our Governing Body we have decided on the following percentage increases reflecting what we as a school believe Less than Expected, Expected, Good and Outstanding progress to be:-

Outstanding - +20\% and beyond
Good-15-19\%
Expected-5-14\%
Less than Expected - 0-4\%

## The table in Appendix 1 shows how we track data in school

The table shown in Appendix 1 shows the way in which we can track the progress of individual learners using B-squared, we are able to see that learners can make progression in small steps using the tracking sheets that are also seen in this appendix. We use the information on these tracking sheets to find the percentage of learners who have made Expected, Good and Outstanding progress as measured against our agreed percentage increases in B-squared. We have also referenced graphs that are taken from B-Squared showing pupil's progress in a different format over time, as detailed in the following English and Mathematics subject areas which are calculated from our own tracking data.

English results

| Reading than | 0 | Percentage of <br> learners 2013 | Percentage <br> of learners in <br> 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less <br> expected <br> progress | Percentage learners <br> in 2015 |  |  |
| Expected <br> Progress | $24 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Good Progress | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Outstanding <br> Progress | $66 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ |


| Writing | Percentage of <br> learners <br> 2013 | Percentage of <br> learners in 2014 | Percentage of <br> learners in 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than expected <br> progress | $4 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Expected Progress | $17 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Good Progress | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Outstanding Progress | $69 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $60 \%$ |


| Listening/Speaking | Percentage of <br> learners <br> 2013 | Percentage of <br> learners in <br> 2014 | Percentage of <br> learners in <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than expected <br> progress | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Expected Progress | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Good Progress | $4 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Outstanding Progress | $79 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## Maths results

| Number | Percentage of <br> learners <br> 2013 | Percentage of <br> learners in 2014 | Percentage of <br> learners in 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than expected <br> progress | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expected Progress | $20 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Good Progress | $17 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Outstanding Progress | $63 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |


| Shape, Space and <br> Measure | Percentage of <br> learners <br> 2013 | Percentage of <br> learners 2014 | Percentage of <br> learners 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than expected <br> progress | $7 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| Expected Progress | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Good Progress | $7 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Outstanding Progress | $69 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $61 \%$ |

## Pupil Premium Results

In September of 2013, we began a project to analysis data that would show how we have used our Pupil Premium money. We have read widely around the subject using this information and the knowledge that we have of these learners to source an assessment which would reflect the impact of the priorities we have identified. We have prioritised the use of some funds to support curriculum areas such as cooking, materials for art technology, social inclusion etc. However in line with research that shows one of the best uses of these funds is in the support of Meta-cognition (awareness and understanding of one's own thoughts) we plan to further develop our assessment for learning and emotional literacy resources and teaching methodology to ensure that all our target groups of learners, develop their overall emotional scores for some groups
and overall self-esteem scores for others. For the purpose of this data each child has been given a letter to represent them so that their results remain anonymous.

|  | Learners completing <br> Emotional Literacy <br> baseline score 2013 <br> independently using <br> Emotional Literacy <br> assessment and <br> intervention 7-11yrs | Emotional Score <br> 2014 independently <br> using Emotional <br> Literacy assessment <br> and intervention 7- <br> 11yrs | 2015 results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Child A | 85 | 87 | 91 |
| Child B | 69 | 72 | 84 |
| Child C | 61 | 62 | 68 |
| Child D | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Child E | 56 | 57 | 66 |
| Child F | 70 | 75 | 80 |

For those learners that could not complete the above assessment independently staff completed their assessments on their behalf through interpreted understanding based upon their depth of knowledge of each individual the results are shown in the table below.

|  | Overall self-esteem <br> score 2013 | Overall self-esteem <br> score 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Child G | 35 | 40 | 55 |
| Child H |  | 44 | 72 |
| Child I |  |  | 34 |
| Child J | 25 | 47 | 78 |

These results have informed our School Improvement Plan ensuring that we plan for strategic changes across school which will support improved attainment.

Overall the majority of the data for both SLD and PMLD learners tells us that within English, we still have a number of pupils that fall below the expected level of progress.

The Maths data shows that the learners are making Good to Outstanding progress in all areas of Maths, there are no pupils that fall below expected.

The following are suggestions for priorities to be reflected in the School Improvement Plan:-

1. To develop the teaching of Speaking and Listening, through focussed lesson observations, demonstration lessons and twilight training sessions, ensuring that
there is a consistent approach to Speaking and Listening across school, with a focus on communication.
2. To further develop the teaching of the use of mathematical knowledge in everyday activities such as our Business and Enterprise work, ensuring that learners apply the skills that they have developed during their Maths lessons at other times and to assess the consistency of this approach through focussed lesson observations, demonstration lessons and twilight training sessions.
3. To ensure that we source a way to compare our data to national averages for Sept 2017.
4. To develop a maths curriculum that reflects the needs of our PMLD pupils through to the pupils who are working at National Curriculum Levels.

## Appendix 1

Example of school tracking sheet

The Grove School Name of Pupil $\qquad$ Date

| SUBJECT | Yr R/1 |  | Yr 1/2 |  | Yr 2/3 |  | Yr 3/4 |  | Yr 4/5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L=current level TL = target level | L\% | TL | L\% | TL | L\% | TL | L\% | TL | L\% | TL |
| Speaking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape/Space/Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Handling Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Using/Applying |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Materials/Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientific enquiry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geography |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Tech |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

